



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/317,407	10/6/94	CHAU, KAO	

07/317,407 10/6/94 CHAU, KAO

EXAMINER
KENNEDY, J. T.

10/6/94 1223

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1223	15

WHITE & CASE
PATENT DEPARTMENT
1750 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10006

12/23/94
DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on 10/3/94 This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire -3- month(s), 0 days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.
2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.
4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.
5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.
6.

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. Claims 1-3 and 5-13 are pending in the application.

Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.

2. Claims _____ have been cancelled.

3. Claims _____ are allowed.

4. Claims 1-3 and 5-13 are rejected.

5. Claims _____ are objected to.

6. Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.

8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.

9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).

10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____, has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).

11. The proposed drawing correction, filed _____, has been approved; disapproved (see explanation).

12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has been received not been received ^{not} filed in parent application, serial no. 07/042,089; filed on 12/17/92.

13. Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

14. Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

CLAIMS 1-3 AND 5-7 ARE PRESENTED FOR EXAMINATION

Applicants' amendment filed October 3, 1994 has been received and entered into the application. Accordingly, the specification at page 1 has been amended.

Claims 1-3 and 5-13 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Brattsand et al. and Murakami et al. in view of applicants' acknowledgements at page 3 of the present specification for the reasons of record as maintained in the Office actions dated October 1, 1993 and April 12, 1994 and as set forth in the Interview Summary Record dated September 19, 1994.

Insofar as applicants have presented no arguments over those already considered, the rejection is deemed to remain proper.

None of the claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ray Henley whose telephone number is (703) 308-4652.



RAYMOND HENLEY, III
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1209

Henley; rjh
December 21, 1994